2. ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

(i) Food Situation

(Motions of Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan and Mr. K. Balakrishna Menon)

Mr. President : Notices of certain adjournment motions have been received. One is from Mr. Kumaran Ezhuthassan, to discuss the very serious situation arising out of the very great deterioration in the Food situation in Cochin; another is from Mr. Balakrishna Menon to discuss the serious food situation in Cochin today. I think the two adjournment motions are in order. They are substantially identical and if the House gives leave for these motions.....

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Nobody opposes, Sir.

Mr. President : I am finding that out. The motions will be taken up at 3-30 on Friday, 1st August.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)* : Both to be taken up, Sir, together or separately?

Mr. President : Both to be taken up. According to the rules.....

Rao Sahib K. Ayyappan *(Minister for Public Works):* The second motion is only about the serious situation.

Mr. President : One is included in the other. Therefore, the more general one can be given preference and according to the rules if there are two similar motions, the more general one will be moved and the other Hon'ble Member can support it as usual.

(ii) The inaction of Government in not making Cocoanut Oil and Cocoanuts available to Consumers within their purchasing power—Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan

There is another motion, which is "to censure the Government for not seeing to it that cocoanut oil and cocoanuts are not made available to the consumers within their purchasing power". Evidently this is a motion that can very well be included in the adjournment motion on food and there is no necessity for having it as a separate one.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Can I be heard Sir, before the ruling is given?

(iii) Suppression of the "Cochin Express" and the "Prajamitram" —P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan

Mr. President : Another one is an adjournment motion by Mr. Kumaran Ezhuthassan concerning "the impropriety and the injustice of suppressing the two Malayalam dailies, the "Cochin Express" and the "Prajamitram" published from Trichur for the reasons stated in the order of suppression. About this adjournment motion, I have to inform the Hon'ble Member that notice of a cut motion has been received prior to this and since that is standing on the agenda.....

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Mr. President, I wish to place one fact before the Hon'ble Chair if I may be permitted to do so.

Mr. President : Yes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*) : I should like to know under what subject is this cut motion and what its place is in the cut motion paper, just to ascertain whether that is a reachable cut motion on which there is a ruling that anticipatory motions.....

Mr. President : I understand the Hon'ble Member's point.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): I am afraid Sir, I am not fully understood. Anticipatory motions have to be disallowed and it is in that light that reference has been made by the chair to the cut motion received prior to the receipt of this adjournment motion. The object of the cut motion as also this is for discussion, to seek an opportunity for discussion of the injustice of the suppression of these dailies from Trichur. If it is clearly seen from the subject under which the earlier cut motion has been received or if it is clearly seen that from the place which the particular cut motion occupies in the list of cut motions, if it is seen clearly therefrom that that is a motion which under any circumstance will not be reached, and that, therefore it no more continues to have the force of an earlier motion such a motion, Sir, closes the characteristics on an earlier motion. On this point there are ever so many Rulings of various Assemblies and Councils and of this House also. One of them is of the Imperial Assembly at Delhi. If it is necessary, I shall point it out.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly